

**District V Advisory Board**  
**Minutes**  
[www.wichitagov.org](http://www.wichitagov.org)

**October 7, 2002**  
**7:00 p.m.**

**Auburn Hills Golf Course Clubhouse**  
**443 S. 135<sup>th</sup> West**

The District V Advisory Board meeting was held at 7:00 p.m. at the Auburn Hills Golf Course Clubhouse at 335 S. 135<sup>th</sup> Street West. In attendance were the District V City Council Member & Vice-Mayor and nine (9) District Advisory Board Members. Fourteen (14) City Staff were present and approximately 90 members of the public of which 67 signed the sign-up sheet.

**Members Present**

David Almes  
Bob Bulman  
Sean Cash  
David Dennis  
Amy Garcia  
Maurice Ediger  
Samantha Morgan  
Teresa Schmidt  
Bob Sorensen  
Vice Mayor Bob Martz

**Members Absent**

Andy Johnson  
Fran Hoggatt

**Staff Present**

Mike Lindebak, Planning  
Allen Bell, Finance  
Kristi McMinnville, Finance  
Don Harrison, Finance  
Capt. T. J. Nelson, Police  
Officer Robert Ahsens, Police  
Officer Alex Dean, Police  
Officer Drew Seiler, Police  
Steve Lackey, Public Works  
Matt Jordan, City Manager's Office  
Ed Bricknell, Fire  
Richard Harris, Fire  
Kay Johnson, Environmental Health  
Dana Brown, City Manager's Office

**Guests**

Listed on last page.

**ORDER OF BUSINESS**

**Call to Order**

The meeting was called to order at 7:07. **Vice Mayor Bob Martz** explained the purpose of the District Advisory Board as advisory to him as the elected representative for City Council District V. He also said that the voting was limited to the Board Members only, even in tie votes, and that his opportunity to vote on issues would be restricted to the City Council Meetings. He acknowledged that many issues come before the Board that are emotional to members of the public but he asked that appropriate behavior be maintained at the meeting and that each individual speak only when acknowledged during the process.

**Pat Burnett, City Clerk**, swore in the District Advisory Board Members.

**Approval of Minutes and Agenda**

The minutes for September 9, 2002 were approved 9-0, as written.

The agenda for October 7th was approved with acknowledgement that staff was presenting at more than one DAB tonight and the agenda may be adjusted accordingly.

### **Public Agenda**

1. No items were scheduled.
2. **George Walta**, Carr Street, asked if the assessments for the paving Carr Street in his block had been sent out yet, noting that he has been out of town and wasn't certain that he had received all of his mail for the time away. **Vice Mayor Martz** stated that it takes about a year after completion of a project for the assessments to be charged to the residents.

### **Staff Presentations**

#### **3. Special Assessments for Auburn Hills Clubhouse**

**Mike Lindebak, Planning**, addressed the issue as the former City Engineer. Lindebak provided information about the special assessment process and how potential property buyer's access information regarding special assessments associated with a property.

Lindebak stated that the official public meeting occurred one week ago but since it was held during the day, many people couldn't attend. Due to phone calls and questions about the assessments, Vice Mayor Martz agreed to place the special assessment issue on the agenda with knowledgeable staff to address questions. Lindebak explained the assessment process and how the agreement came about between the developer and the City for the special assessment charged to the property owners. He explained how he had tried the process of obtaining information about such an assessment as if he were a potential buyer by obtaining information from the County Courthouse, Baughman Engineering, and the developer. All printed information he obtained indicated that a special assessment was associated with buying a lot in the specific areas of Auburn Hills. The information also provided the City Engineer's phone number as a resource for additional information.

**Vice Mayor Martz** said that he had recently met with several residents of Auburn Hills who had questions related to thinking they had paid the assessment during the closing at the title company.

**Lindebak** explained that the actual assessment figures not been determined until just recently. **Vice Mayor Martz** asked Lindebak if he expected any others assessments to which **Lindebak** said he did not. He said that the special assessments have included paving 135<sup>th</sup> and the clubhouse plus streets, sewer, storm water and sewer maintenance with no increases to the amounts originally calculated.

**Vice Mayor Martz** clarified that the final costs were in the range of what was anticipated. He said that the public could now make comments and ask questions but answers would be withheld until all of the points were made.

The following questions and/or comments were made:

- **Monica Holick, 543 S. Limuel Ct.**, stated that she had no objections to the first assessment on the street but she did object to the second assessment for the clubhouse. She said she was especially upset when she and her children could not even walk on the golf course after the flags are down.
- **Ken Barton, 12833 Hendryx Ct.** said he bought his house and lot from a Realtor and wasn't told about it. He added that the taxes are too high.
- **Bob Hartwell, Enclave resident**, said he used the street but asked what good does the clubhouse do for the Auburn Hills homeowners.
- **David Panneham, Enclave resident**, asked if the people who lived next door to McDonald Golf Course and Riverside Park were being assessed for the building of those facilities, especially the improvements planned for the park?
- **Gordon Swann, Enclave resident**, said he obviously didn't get informed during the purchase of his property but he had several concerns: Why were the people of area paying for clubhouse? Isn't a disclosure necessary? Why are public meetings scheduled at 11:00 a.m. requiring people have to take off work to attend?

- **Resident from the 3rd Addition** asked why disclosure was not more evident to the buyer. He said he bought his house three (3) years ago as a model and when he asked about any discounts on green fees or other privileges to the golf course, he was told there would be no special privileges because it would be a public golf course. He said he looked through his closing documents and found no notation of a special assessment. He asked what the City could do to hold Realtors and title companies more accountable for information.
- **Christine Stimmel, President of Auburn Hills Homeowners Association** for Additions One (1) Two (2), Three (3), Four (4), Five (5), and Ten (10), said she gets phone calls about the homeowner's covenants because they were not given to the residents by the title company.
- **Russ Meyer, 438 Limuel Court (Second Addition)**, said he didn't receive a notice of the meeting held last week.
- **Dan Mandel, 613 Sandtrap (AH East)** asked if the homeowners would assume any liability if someone was hurt on the golf course.
- **Larry Brown, 410 S. Limuel**, asked if the developer was given anything special in return for this financing arrangement.

At that point, **Vice Mayor Martz** asked the developer if he'd like to respond to that question. **Jay Russell, Jay Russell Construction**, said he'd like to respond to several questions that have been asked. First, he said didn't get anything in return other than the agreement to develop the area as part of the entire project. Second, he didn't understand how a failure to disclose would occur as he had the statement included in several areas of the paperwork and specifically in the Restrictive Covenants. Russell read from the form referenced, *Disclosure of Restrictive Covenants*, stating that the specials for the street, water main, etc. also included approximately \$5.00 per month for the clubhouse and pointed out a line on the form for buyer acknowledgement. Russell said the third point was that the developer sells to builder who contracts with the Realtor. The Realtor is responsible for ensuring that the title company presents the notice and another signature form for subdivision disclosure as part of the closing. Russell said that the agreement was to not exceed \$125.00 a month for Special Assessments. **Gordon Swann** said that he did not receive those documents and asked the City to ensure the buyer receives the covenants and assessments from the Title Company. He questioned the arrangements between the developer and city. **Vice Mayor Martz** stated firmly that such comments are inappropriate and should be discontinued.

**Joseph Crandall, 12806 W. Hendryx**, said he had been notified of the assessment but thought it was paid at the closing. He said that Jay Russell was correct about the disclosure form and that he had signed it. He said he had no problem paying the money but felt the City should give the homeowners some privileges for use of the clubhouse.

**Vice Mayor Martz** then responded to the questions and comments as follows: 1) He asked staff if the homeowners association had access to the clubhouse. **Don Harrison, City Golf Courses/Finance**, said that meetings could be held free in the clubhouse if the residents of the association were paying assessments. 2) **Vice Mayor Martz** then said he wasn't sure about homeowners being able to walk after the flags are taken down as he needed to check on several aspects of allowing. 3) The City is not responsible for regulating the disclosure of special assessments, and anyone who felt their assessment information was not provided should contact the Realtor who represented them in the purchase of their property. 4) Regarding green fee discounts, the City would have to discuss what could be provided to homeowners. 5) The public golf courses do not produce adequate revenue to offer special privileges but he would work with staff to research options. 6) No, residents of the areas of McDonald Golf Course or Riverside Park did not pay for the construction or any improvements but the facilities were not built as part of a residential development. Likewise, any renovations or additions to Auburn Hills Golf

Course and Clubhouse won't be charged to the residents. The City maintains an Enterprise Fund that helps pay for these improvements. 7) In regard to the public meeting during the day, it is unfortunate

but that's why this item is being addressed at the DAB V meeting and is why DAB meets at night—to allow citizens to bring concerns and issues. Vice Mayor Martz continued that it was his decision to add this item to the agenda in order to provide information to the residents. The item does not require the DAB to take action—it is strictly an information item. 8) In response to the comment about Auburn Hills Golf Course having financial problems, Vice Mayor Martz said he had no knowledge of this. He explained that all revenues from the five City courses help to maintain all courses. 9) The homeowners who are paying special assessments for the clubhouse have no liability for any accidents; only the City is liable.

**Vice Mayor Martz** asked Finance Department staff to address the issue. **Allen Bell, Economic Development Director**, said that issue of disclosure is a perennial one. He said Jay Russell's approach to informing the buyer should be effective as the assessment information was included in several areas. In addition, Bell said that title companies already have some legal requirements for disclosure so he doesn't understand how the information slips through gaps but he knows it happens. In regard to special privileges for residents, Bell said that Auburn Hills has the distinction of being a residential golf course community and that enhances property values. He said that the course and clubhouse were built with \$7.5 million from the golf fund plus \$2.4 million from taxpayers and another \$500,000 from residents. Auburn Hills is a unique development funded through a public-private partnership.

**Hauck** said she would like a follow up meeting on arranging benefits for the homeowners to which **Vice Mayor Martz** said he would be glad to do. He said that it would not necessarily be Council action but instead would have to be worked out with the neighborhoods and the city departments. He also said that he thought it would be helpful to add any of the residents in attendance to the District V distribution list. Staff asked the public to please indicate on the sign-in sheet if they would like to be added.

A member of the public asked about "rumors," or "different stories" on the plans for infrastructure in the area including the number of lanes for 135<sup>th</sup> and Maple Streets. **Lindebak** acknowledged that some changes were made as necessary but that 135<sup>th</sup> is considered a three-lane street and Maple was made a four-lane to accommodate the community and the businesses on Maple. **Harrison** said he wanted to clarify that the golf courses are paid for through user-fees as the main source of revenue for operation.

**Vice Mayor Martz** thanked everyone for coming and said he hoped the effort of adding this item to the agenda had been helpful to provide the correct information and to address concerns. He introduced Kristi McMinnville, Finance, and said that she was available in the outer room for specific questions about each property owner's assessments.

**No action required.**

**Vice Mayor Martz** called for a 10-minute break.

## **2. Proposed Changes to Patrol West**

**Capt. Terry Nelson, Police Department**, presented proposed bureau restructure and beat realignment for Patrol West Bureau. He provided some history about how community policing was implemented in the early 1990's with the intent for these officers to be in the neighborhoods. Currently a team of seven officers covers each beat. Nineteen (19) beats exist in the city with four bureaus. The beats are established on call volume and geographic area with the use of natural breaks such as the river, major streets, etc. Call levels for each bureau patrol include west at 24%, east at 29%, north at 26%, and south at 21%. However, the growth of the city both east and west due to expansion and annexations has challenged police in those beats by the area they must cover. In response to this, one additional beat will be added to Districts I & V. This change will shift personnel

and equipment to the west, adding seven more officers for a new beat that will cover from Ridge on the east, city limits on the west, Maple on the south, & 13<sup>th</sup> Street to the north. Patrol West covers 33% of the Wichita population and 35% of the geographic area. About 20 officers will be available on each shift.

**Bulman** asked if there are plans to relocate Patrol West to which **Nelson** said no, not currently although effort is made to centrally locate the substations.

**Action: The Board verbally recommended that the proposed change be supported.**

### 3. Community Police Report

**Community Police Officers** for District V reported on specific concerns for the area.

**Bob Ahsens, Officer for Beat 19**, reported that the main issues for this beat continue to be vandalism, larceny and property crimes. He said traffic is also a big issue especially on 21st. The child identification program was being promoted to supply parents with a floppy disk with their child's photo and fingerprints. **Alex Dean, Officer for Beat 18**, reported that auto larcenies involving juveniles was the biggest issue in the area. Recently, three (3) juveniles had confessed to 25 auto larcenies. Dean also reported for Officer Chris Mains, who covers Beat 16 and had to leave the meeting, reminding the public everyone that a Law Enforcement Expo would be held at Town West on October 12th. Officers would be available to assist citizens with information about Neighborhood Watch through the Community Affairs Division. **Drew Seiler, Officer for Beat 17**, distributed a report on a staff meeting regarding the request at a previous DAB V Meeting to open 36th Street at Dugan. He also said that drag racing and traffic issues at schools had been concerns for this beat. **Vice Mayor Martz** thanked the officers for their reports and for the newsletters provided for each of their beats. **Garcia** stated that she had been recently hit in two separate accidents on Central at the Highway 235 entrance/exits. She asked if something had changed there that might be causing more traffic issues. **Officer Dean** said that speeding continues to be an issue and that issuing tickets doesn't seem to affect those who speed. **Steve Lackey, Public Works Director**, said that the signal time at some intersections had been changed in response to a review conducted by the department to identify trends and the ten (10) intersections with the most accidents in the city. Lackey also said that some of the signal lights had been changed to larger ones that stand out more. He said he would find out if any changes had been made for this intersection.

**Recommended Action: Receive and file**

### 4. Trash Collection Franchise

Steve Lackey, Public Works, provided a power point presentation on citywide franchising of trash collection in response to the City Council's request for the DABs to provide comment. The presentation gave a brief history of the issue saying the City had collected trash until sometime in the 1970's when trash collection was opened to private business through a free market. The City controlled waste management through the local landfill until approximately five (5) years ago when the County assumed the responsibility. The presentation provided information on how other cities of comparable size handled franchising for trash collection including methods, costs, etc. Questions to be answered in the process of information collection were also presented.

**DAB Members** asked a variety of questions including:

How would the plan schedule pickups that would comply with homeowner associations' covenants? Had the City had met with trash haulers? Why the City had discontinued trash collection? Would the City do the hauling? Would the competitive process ensure service? Why would such a plan be initiated when it would put several haulers out of business? Who initiated? Are there benchmarks set

for feasibility? What are the costs associated with a landfill vs. a transfer station? And what products can be recycled?

**Lackey** responded to all questions saying that much information would need to be researched on the issue and that the input from the DABs was a request by the Council to find out first if support existed. He said several issues were associated with management such as public health concerns if carts are left out more than one day each week and infrastructure management for heavy trucks on streets. Lackey said that staff was very aware of the potential impact on the industry and were sensitive to it. He explained that he understood that the City discontinued trash collection because it was expensive, especially with Workman's Compensation and the City would probably not manage the hauling again. It would probably bid to quadrants of the city but service could not be promised. At the same time, the customer might gain lower cost and higher service. In regard to putting trash services out of business, Lackey said that several haulers might incorporate for bid, such as Tulsa, but there would clearly be issues with keeping all 19 current haulers in business. Although no benchmarks had been set for feasibility, the potential for supporting other programs would certainly be considered. In response to costs of a landfill vs. a transfer station, Lackey said the transfer station costs more at \$38.00 per ton. Costs would be affected to some degree by a recycling program and information regarding recycling does vary according to the information source. Lackey said that Jim Spencer of Weyerhaeuser says that all trash could be recycled.

**Vice Mayor Martz** asked if any of the trash collection businesses were represented and wanted to speak. **Jim Spencer, Waste Connections**, spoke saying his company was previously BFI locally. He made the following points:

- The major difference in the examples used in the presentation was that the communities featured didn't move from free enterprise to franchise.
- He took a financial risk by investing over \$100 million to build a transfer station, enlarge a landfill at Enid, OK, and bought out SSI when Sedgwick County made the decision to manage solid waste through transfer stations instead of a landfill. In some states, *just compensation* is allowed to fairly compensate businesses in this situation that suffer a financial loss due to action taken by political bodies, such as the local decision-making for waste management. However, *just compensation* does not exist in Kansas.
- He disagreed that levels of service could be increased.

**Joel Elsea** spoke next saying that he had taken his total savings to invest in his trash collection business of about 800 customers. His main concern is going out of business at the expense of the City generating revenue. He asked the Board to vote against recommending franchise collection.

**Gary Black, Waste Management**, a publicly held company, spoke saying that competition drives the market and it doesn't make sense to change that situation.

**Gilbert Ballenger, Ballenger Trash Collection**, spoke about his family-owned business that had been operating for 50 years. He said that he felt all of the facts had not been presented because so many variables exist.

**David Lies, Lies Trash Service and President of Independent Trash Haulers**, said he can't bid against the large trash haulers and that means that a foreclosure would result against his business loan. He noted that he had attended the DAB I and DAB IV meeting and they had voted against franchising.

With no other speakers, **Vice Mayor Martz** brought the discussion back to the Board. **Cash** stated that he had three (3) points to make: 1) Why the City was proposing the change to franchising the trash? 2) Why would the City not promote economies of scale (those that make the most widgets get the most business)? 3) Why wouldn't the City allow more competition to promote better prices for

the customers? **Morgan** said she had concerns for the potential job-loss for citizens and asked how many would be impacted if the City changed to franchising. **Lies** said it would be approximately 200 people. **Vice Mayor Martz** said he apologized to Lackey and to Ballenger for the additional work involved and the confusion the issue had caused. He explained that he and other Council Members had been asked by a number of people what could be done about the solid waste management situation. As a result, this concept of franchising was an attempt to explore possibilities. He and Council Member Bill Gale had met with trash haulers to discuss options with the main goals of reducing costs and preserving jobs. He said it was not really a City Council responsibility; the County Commission has control. But the Council would like a local landfill to reduce costs for haulers and residents.

Other comments were made including points of possible ways to save money for haulers & customers; that homeowners associations often save for their residents by contracting for an entire area; that our society operates on a capitalist or free enterprise system overall and this should continue; appreciation for the City's intent to save money but other countries that do not operate on free enterprise without competition do not provide incentives for improving the product and the poor quality is reflected; and is now the best time to make a decision. **Cash** asked how long it would take to get a landfill to which **Lackey** said 2 to 3 years.

**Bulman (Cash)** moved that the Board recommend no further study be given to this concept. **Dennis** asked if the Board shouldn't give the Council more guidance. **Cash** said if the Board did not support continuing the research, there was no guidance to give. Ediger called for the question. Motion passed 9-0.

**Action: Board voted 9-0 to recommend that no further study be given to franchising trash collection.**

## **5. Options for Selling and Enforcing Legal Fireworks**

**Matt Jordan, City Manager's Office**, presented options for legalized fireworks and enforcement of their use. With the time being very late in the evening, he suggested to the Board that they consider reviewing and bringing back to the next meeting in November. **Cash** asked if any of the options would decrease accidents. **Jordan** said that can't be guaranteed and it would probably take many years to see the effect of any changes. **Cash** asked if any trend data was available from other areas for the Board to review to which **Jordan** said none was provided. **Morgan** asked if Wichita had experienced an increase in injuries and fires. **Ed Bricknell, Fire Marshall**, responded by saying that the averages for the past five years had been \$70,000 in building/home destruction and 45 accidents. No increases were seen this past year. **Morgan** asked how increased restriction would impact the sale of fireworks by other communities in Sedgwick County? **Bricknell** said that fifteen communities in the county sell fireworks that are illegal in Wichita but the City can only control the fireworks sold in the city. **Jordan** said the effort would need to be a multi-year approach. **Ediger** asked about designating certain areas for shooting fireworks such as the parks? **Jordan** said he had visited with the Park & Recreation Department Director and he is against allowing individuals to shoot in the parks. However, Jordan said, designating areas for community displays is probably worth exploring as a grassroots effort. **Garcia** said that she had worked as an ER nurse enough taking care of kids who were injured from fireworks and even know of families who lost their home due to fireworks. In contrast, she said we require seat belts and helmets for safety, why not ban fireworks? **Morgan** asked about staffing needed for the holiday to which **Bricknell** said there are about ten days that require all staff. **Vice Mayor Martz** asked Bricknell if enforcement was actually used and, if so, did he think it was effective. **Bricknell** said they were only able to write 10 tickets for last year.

**Vice Mayor Martz** said one of the options, banning all fireworks, would result in a new set of rules and that he was concerned about current enforcement being effective. **Jordan** replied that actually

Options A and B would have the biggest impact overtime. **Mike Bette, 11833 Alderny Court**, commented that it's easy to tell the difference in fireworks that fire over 4-5 feet. **Vice Mayor Martz** said he appreciates what Fire Department attempts to do with enforcement but is concerned about feasibility. **Morgan** asked if help with enforcement, noting that people probably complain to Police Dept. **Jordon** replied that most complaints are 911 calls with about 1400-1500 total each year. If more enforcement is desired, more resources will be required. **Cash (Morgan)** moved to take action next month after DAB Members have time to get neighborhood input. Motion passed 9-1.

**Action: Delay action until November meeting to obtain feedback from other citizens (9-0).**

### **Board Agenda**

#### **6. Updates, Issues, and Reports**

The opportunity was provided for the Council Members and the District Advisory Board Members to report any activities, events, or concerns in the neighborhoods and/or District.

**Vice Mayor Martz** asked staff to lead the review process for the grant applications. Staff asked all members to provide a score sheet for verification of review for each grant request. The members were also reminded of the guideline criteria and that they could award a partial amount, if desired. Applicants attending the meeting were asked to sign an award agreement before leaving if their request if funded.

The application from **Millpond HOA** is a second review by the DAB due to the request for more information and a denial of the fencing request. The request is for trees only to fill in open spaces and replace dead or dying trees. The trees have already been purchased and planted. Carl Travillion, President, communicated that the HOA understands if the DAB does not vote to fund retroactively but the work day was organized and the residents decided to use the day for planting trees, as originally planned donating a combined in-kind and monetary match of 74%. The Board voted to fund the request of \$920.83.

**Briarwood HOA** had also submitted additional information in response to the DAB request for their original application. The request includes funding to assist with landscaping along 119<sup>th</sup> Street, installing playground pad under equipment, and repair work to a weir with a 48% match. Bette amended the request by removing the repair work to the weir due to unanswered questions from the Board about impact on flooding.

The amendment increased the match to 53%. The Board voted to award Briarwood Estates HOA with \$4,500 (7-2).

The third request reviewed was a new application from **Auburn Hills HOA** for trees and shrubbery around the association's community pool. The HOA has committed funding and in-kind labor for the required minimum 33% match. The Board awarded Auburn Hill HOA \$2,196.00 (9-0).

**Maple Dunes HOA** asked for \$1,960 to enhance the commons area with landscaping and replace signage at two entrances. The association has committed a combined monetary and in-kind labor match of 49%. The Board awarded \$1,960 to Maple Dunes HOA (9-0).

Due to the longer than anticipated meeting, staff briefly spoke to the Board about a pilot program for DAB V to receive the agenda information through electronic mail. A couple of members noted that they needed to address some issues with Internet for their computers before they could receive any communications. More information and discussion will be provided at the next meeting.

**Action: With the noted amendments, the Board voted to fund each of the four grant applications.**



**7. Next Meeting**

The next meeting for District Advisory Board V is scheduled on **November 4, 2002** at Auburn Hills Clubhouse at 7:00 p.m.

**No Action Required.**

The meeting adjourned at 12:10 a.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dana L. Brown, Neighborhood Assistant  
City Council District V

**Guest List**

Jan Rueschoff	201 Maple Dunes	Elmer Schmitz	302 Limuel Ct.
Sara Rueschoff	201 Maple Dunes	Jeanne Schmitz	302 Limuel Ct.
Jim Spencer	7814 Quail Run Circle	Susie Tankersley	1125 Merton 111D
Betty Ludwig	406 N. Jaax	Dale Blasi	531 Limuel Ct.
Mark Adams	545 Limuel Ct.	Ron Lane	319 Limuel Ct.
Coretta & John	442 Limuel Ct.	Tyson Longhofer	9617 W. Greenspoint
Joel Elsea	9432 Wyncroft	Gary Black	305 S. West St.
John Oburg	13315 Hayden	John Martyn	537 S. Limuel Ct
Carolyn Oburg	13315 Hayden	Louis St. Clair	1339 Fawnwood Ct.
Lane Luke	11314 W. Jewell	Angela McCoy	12849 W. Hendryx Ct.
Marcella Clegg	430 S. Limuel Ct.	Teresa Osarczuk	371 S. Limuel Ct.
Doris Bennett	421 S. Limuel Ct.	Rick Hawthorne	323 S. Limuel Ct.
Mike Bette	11833 Alderny Ct.	Sharon Manner	13411 Hayden
David Johnson	12845 Hendryx Ct.	Ken Barton	12833 W. Hendryx Ct.
Shirlene Hommertzheim	12817 W. Hendryx	Ricky Aschebrenner	515 S. Limuel Ct.
Scott Brannum	609 Sandtrap	Dennis Landick	2226 Crestline
Tony Hirbony	Address not legible	Mona Landick	2226 Crestline
Dave Shemington	342 S. Limuel Ct.	Deb Mandel	613 Sandtrap
Lance Goble	12837 Hendryx Ct.	Dan Mandel	613 Sandtrap
Lydia Davis	346 S. Limuel Ct.	Mark Armstrong	129 S. Forestview
Jack Davis	346 S. Limuel Ct.	Robert Pollard	330 Limuel Ct.
Russ Meyer	438 S. Limuel Ct.	Valerie Smith	Address not legible
Dorothy Meyer	438 S. Limuel Ct.	Christine Stimmel	605 S. Limuel Ct.
Paul Foster	10931 Dora Ct.	Larry Brown	410 S. Limuel Ct.
Vickie Foster	10931 Dora Ct.	Robert Lyall	307 S. Limuel Ct.
Deborah Kumpe	12805 W. Hendryx	Monica Holick	543 S. Limuel Ct.
Oskar Von Heydenreich	359 S. Limuel Ct.	Joseph Crandall	12806 W. Hendryx
Gene Miracle	605 Sandtrap	Brian Nitcher	7302 Barrington Ct.
Jeff Fawcett	2333 Baytree	Patricia Oeser	7527 Galoway
Sandy Partridge	315 S. Limuel	Stuert Oeser	7527 Galoway
John Bendie	539 S. Limuel	Teresa Lindebak	4611 S. Glenn
Vicki Bendie	539 S. Limuel		